```
JOHN F. DAUM (SB #52313)
     FRAMROZE M. VIRJEE (SB #120401)
  2
     DAVID L. HERRON (SB #158881)
     O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
  3
     Embarcadero Center West
     275 Battery Street
     San Francisco, California 94111-3305
  5
     Telephone: 415.984.8700
  б
     Attorneys for Defendant State of California
  7
                  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 8
 9
                       CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
10
11
     ELIEZER WILLIAMS, et al.,
                                     ) Case No. 312 236
12
                     Plaintiffs,
                                     ) Hearing Date: August 25, 2003
13
                vs.
                                     ) Time:
                                                      3:30 p.m.
14
     STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DELAINE ) Department:
15
     EASTIN, State Superintendent )
     Of Public Instruction, STATE ) Judge:
                                                    Hon. Peter J. Busch
16
     DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, STATE)
     BOARD OF EDUCATION.
17
                     Defendants.
18
19
20
     AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION.
21
22
23
      DECLARATION OF JANICE NIKOGHOSIAN IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT STATE
       OF CALIFORNIA'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY
24
                                 ADJUDICATION
25
26
27
28
     LA2:682957.1
       DECLARATION OF JANICE NIKOGHOSIAN IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT STATE OF CALIFORNIA'S OPPOSITION
```

TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION

## I, Janice Nikoghosian, declare as follows:

R

the Hanford Elementary School District in Hanford, California.

As Director of Curriculum and Instruction, I facilitate the adoption of textbooks and I oversee the ordering of textbooks. I have held this position for three years. Prior to that, I was an Assistant Principal on Special Assignment in the district, an Assistant Principal at the Lee Richmond Elementary School in the district and a classroom teacher. I have been an educator for twenty-nine years and I have worked in the Hanford Elementary School District for 25 years. I have a standard elementary teaching credential, a reading specialist credential, a professional administrative services credential, and a junior college teaching credential.

2. I am familiar with the students, teachers, and facilities at Roosevelt Elementary School and Lee Richmond Elementary School in Hanford Elementary School District, and am familiar with the curriculum and the educational opportunities that are provided to Roosevelt and Richmond students. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration; and if called as a witness I would and could testify competently thereto.

3. I have some general familiarity with the January 2000 Initial Report of Findings that was prepared for Roosevelt Elementary School as part of the Roosevelt's participation in the LAZ:662957.1

4

7

6

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

1.8

19

20

21

23

24

25

26 27

28

Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program ("II/USP"). I am also generally familiar with the January 2000 Initial Report of Findings that was prepared for Lee Richmond Elementary School as part of Richmond's participation in the II/USP program. Indeed, I was on the II/USP school planning team for Richmond school. Both schools became II/USP schools beginning in the 1999-2000 school year.

I am aware that the plaintiffs in this case have cited two pages of Roosevelt's Initial Report of Findings, which they identified as DOE 51303 and DOE 51333, and page 30 of Richmond's Initial Report of Findings, which they identified as DOE 51432, in a submission to this court. Page DOE 51333, which discusses Roosevelt school, contains the statement that "In all focus groups (including students) and in all comments from the classroom observers, the lack of up-to-date books and classroom resources was a chief concern." At the time that document was written, the district had made a conscious choice to focus on reading, language arts, and mathematics. As a result, we invested heavily in new instructional materials in mathematics and language arts. These materials are available to Roosevelt students. For example, we adopted new, standards-aligned mathematic books in grades K-6 in 2002-2003, and new, standardsaligned reading books for use in grades K-6 beginning in 2003-In addition, we purchased new, standards-aligned textbooks for a reading intervention series for fourth through sixth graders that is called "Fast Track" in 2002-2003. Roosevelt also

LA2:682957.1

5. Some teachers in the district, and possibly at Roosevelt, continue to use an older Mathematics book with their students as a supplemental or reference book. All students have as their primary textbook, however, the new, standards-aligned mathematics text that the district adopted last year.

.12

6. In addition, Roosevelt and Richmond have both invested extensively in leveled readers to support the district's focus on early literacy. Leveled readers are typically soft-cover reading books at various reading "levels," in sets of about six or so books. Both schools have extensive "literacy libraries," from which teachers can check out sets of leveled readers for use in their classes. Both Roosevelt and Richmond have added significant quantities of leveled readers since they became II/USP schools. There are currently sufficient numbers of up-to-date leveled readers at both schools.

7. Currently, students at Roosevelt use a Houghton Mifflin textbook that was adopted by the district in 1991 for History and Social Science (grades K-6) and a MacMillian/McGraw-Hill textbook that was adopted by the district one or two years later for Science (grades 1-5). For Kindergarten, science materials are purchased with an eye to specific units of instruction that teachers at each school intend to present.

Sixth graders in the district use a Holt Science Plus series. We LAZ:682957.1

did not adopt new textbooks in Social Science in 1998 or in Science in 1999 because we made a conscious decision at the district level to focus on language arts and mathematics, especially early reading. One of the factors that went into that decision was that, at that time, there were no state standards for science and social studies and the district was moving towards standards-based education. Furthermore, it is my understanding, based on my discussions with a textbook publisher regarding the Social Science textbooks in use in the district and on my understanding of the state content standards and frameworks, that Social Science and Science books that Roosevelt is currently using do not differ substantially from the Houghton Mifflin Social Science texts and the MacMillian/McGraw-Hill and Holt Science texts that are on the state's current adoption list. Both editions are pre-standards, and both are based on a state framework that was substantially unchanged between editions.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

8. In addition, in line with the district's focus on reading, teachers at Roosevelt deliver social science and science instruction by using leveled readers with social science and science themes. These leveled-readers are up-to-date. Indeed, Roosevelt looks at social science and science themes and the social science and science state content standards when determining what new leveled readers to purchase and has focused its purchase of new leveled readers on those with science and social science themes.

27

26

28

**4** 5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Again, the district's decision to forego adopting 9. new social science and science textbooks from the state's most recent adoption lists was part of conscious decision to focus on language arts and mathematics, and it was a choice in which teachers in the district participated. Indeed, in 1999 and 2000, the district received a large influx of Schiff-Bustamonte textbook funds. Teachers were instrumental in determining how these funds were expended. Indeed, there were several committees of teachers that identified instructional materials needs. the basis of those teachers' recommendations, the district purchased additional writing materials and phonics materials, rather than new textbooks for history and social science. The district does have plans to purchase new, standards-aligned science and social studies textbooks when the state makes its new adoption, in 2005 for Social Science and in 2006 for Science.

Roosevelt School, that "Students in the focus groups noted that their books are in bad condition and because there are not enough, they can't take them home. This creates a problem when they are needed for a homework reference." Page DOE 51432 contains a similar statement about Lee Richmond: "Resources for instruction are lacking. Students in the focus groups all noted that their books are in very bad condition and that they can't take them home." The primary textbooks that students at both Roosevelt and Richmond currently have in mathematics and the brand new language arts books that they will have in language arts are not in bad condition, and neither are leveled readers

and the writing and phonics materials that both Roosevelt and Richmond have acquired within the last few years. It is possible that some of the mathematics books from an older adoption, which some teachers continue to use as reference books, are not in good physical condition. These books are used to supplement instruction, however, not as primary texts. It is also possible that some of the science and social science books at Roosevelt and Richmond are in fair condition. I am not aware, however, of any particular complaints regarding the physical condition of these books by students or teachers at either school. Also, the leveled readers, of which teachers at Roosevelt and Richmond make significant use to deliver science and social science instruction, are in good condition.

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2€

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

11. I am not aware of any situation at Roosevelt or Richmond in which students were unable to take books home for a homework reference because there were not enough. At both schools, whether students take books home for homework is a matter of teacher preference. Students do not necessarily need to take books home for a homework reference, depending on the type of homework assignment that the teacher designs. teachers, for example, have book bags that they send home with students in which they select certain books for the students to take home for homework. Often, these books are softcover readers, not hardcover primary textbooks. Other teachers send home homework packets for students to complete throughout a school week. Teachers may also assign other reference books or materials for a student to take home. If teachers at Roosevelt LA2:682957.1

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LA2:682957.1

or Richmond are not sending books home, it is not because there

are not enough, it is because of some other educational choice

comments in reference to Roosevelt Elementary, "'not enough

above, Roosevelt has a wealth of up-to-date language arts

materials and has been extremely focused on acquiring such

arts, especially reading, I find it hard to believe that

materials in the past few years. Given this focus on language

Roosevelt lacked language arts materials at the time that this

selection of library books. Roosevelt has received classroom

from the district to purchase library books and Roosevelt has

been purchasing library books with these funds for at least the

Media Services Aide who oversees the weeding out of the schools'

Both schools also have library committees that determine what new

library collections and the purchasing of new library books.

last four years. In addition, both Roosevelt and Richmond have a

library and library funds for the past few years as well as funds

It is also very unlikely that Roosevelt has a poor

materials, poor selection of library books, to lack of language

arts materials." It would be absolutely inaccurate to say that

Roosevelt currently lacks language arts materials. As described

Page DOE 51333 also mentions the following

that those teachers have made.

document was written.

13.

library books to purchase.

"not enough materials" refers. I am not aware of any specific complaints at Roosevelt that there are currently not enough instructional materials at the school. In addition, the school purchases enough primary textbooks so that there is one textbook for every child. There is also a large and sufficiently varied quantity of leveled readers so that any teacher that wants to check out leveled readers from the school's literacy library can

do so for use in his or her class.

14. I am not sure to what the part of the statement

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

9

Page DOE 51303 states, in reference to Roosevelt School, that "Teachers feel that they have a lack of instructional materials to support the standards implementation." In this coming school year, teachers will have sufficient materials to support the implementation of the mathematics and language arts standards because they will have new, standardaligned textbooks, a standards-aligned intervention program in reading arts, a significant and varied quantity of leveled readers, and all of the other materials that I have described above. Neither the current state adopted science and social science texts nor the previous adoptions that Roosevelt students are currently using for science and social science are standardsaligned. Roosevelt teachers select leveled readers, however, with a focus on science and social science standards and science and social science themes. In addition, the district will adopt standards-aligned science and social science textbooks when the

27

LA2:682957.1

1	new standards-aligned adoption lists are promulgated by the
2	state.
3	
4	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
5	California that the foregoing is true and correct.
6	
7	Executed this 16 day of August 2003, at Hanford,
8	California.
9	Ot us in Det who examine
10	Janice Nikognosian
11	
ıż	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
27	

LA2:682957.1