	•			
1	JOHN F. DAUM (SB #52313)			
2	FRAMROZE M. VIRJEE (SB #120401)			
3	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP			
4	Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street			
5	San Francisco, California 9411 Telephone: 415.984.8700	1-3305		
6	Attorneys for Defendant State c	of California	•	
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
10				
11	ELIEZER WILLIAMS, et al., )	Case No. 312	236	
12	Plaintiffs, )	Hearing Date:	September 17, 2003	
13	vs. )	Time:	3:30 p.m.	
14	) STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DELAINE )	Department:	20	
15	EASTIN, State Superintendent )		·	
16	Of Public Instruction, STATE ) DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, STATE) BOARD OF EDUCATION, )	Judge:	Hon. Peter J. Busc	h
17	board of Education, )			
18	Defendants. )		,	
19	. )			
20	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION. )			
21	)			
22				
23	DECLARATION OF THOMAS ADAMS	IN SUPPORT OF 1	DEFENDANT STATE OF	
24	CALIFORNIA'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY			
25	ADJU	DICATION		
26			•	
27				
28				
	DECLARATION OF THOMAS ADAMS IN SUPPORT O	F DEFENDANT STATE OF	CALIFORNIA'S OPPOSITION TO	
	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION	FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICA	TION	

1. I am currently employed by the Department of Education of the State of California. I make this declaration in support of the opposition of defendant State of California to Plaintiffs' motion for summary adjudication. All of the facts set forth in this declaration are known to me personally and, if called as a witness, I could testify competently thereto.

2. Since April 21, 2003, I have been Director of the Department's Curriculum Frameworks and Instructional Resources Division. The Curriculum Frameworks and Instructional Resources (CFIR) Division oversees the work of the development of curriculum frameworks and the adoption of instructional resources. In addition, I serve as the Executive Director and the Executive Secretary to the Curriculum Development and Supplemental Materials Commission (Curriculum Commission). The CFIR division director and staff provide support for the Commission, which advises the State Board of Education on the adoption of curriculum frameworks and instructional resources.

3. Prior to my appointment as division director, I was the lead consultant on two standards-based adoptions of instructional materials, history-social science in 1999 and science in 2000. I consulted with the Academic Standards Commission and the State Board of Education on the development of the history-social science standards. The published History-Social Science Standards acknowledge that my "significant

contributions . . . deserve special recognition." Subsequently, I was the lead consultant on the updating of the History-Social Science Framework, 2000. In the area of mathematics, I was the lead consultant on the development of the Mathematics Framework in 1997 and 1998. Between September 2000 and April 2003, as the administrator of the Curriculum Frameworks Unit, I oversaw the completion of the Foreign Language, Health, and Science Frameworks. I served as staff in every adoption of instructional materials between 1999 and 2002 and have first hand knowledge of the use of evaluation criteria in the selection of instructional materials. Lastly, I recently received professional development on the use of state-adopted reading/language arts instructional materials.

4. I am familiar with the <u>Williams v. State of</u>

<u>California</u> case, and have reviewed the allegations Plaintiffs set forth in their motion for summary adjudication pertaining to the lack of instructional materials.

development process changed in 1995. The Academic Standards
Commission (hereafter referred to as Standards Commission) was
created when Governor Pete Wilson signed into law AB 265, chapter
975, in October, 1995. The Standards Commission had the
responsibility of advising the State Board of Education and
bringing forth proposed standards for adoption. In June 1996, SB
430, chapter 69, an urgency statute, was signed into law with the
clause that the State Board of Education "shall modify the

7

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

curriculum frameworks where appropriate to bring them into alignment with the standards." This statute made standards the overriding curricular policy. The Academic Standards Commission was formed in 1996 and by September 1998 had completed its work. The Standards Commission ceased to exist after 1998.

In 1997, California was among one of the first states to take the bold initiative of developing academic standards. Since then, California has adopted content standards in English-language arts, history-social science, mathematics, and science. These standards guide districts on what should be taught across all disciplines and at varying grade levels. state's role in public education has changed, therefore, from merely providing an outline of pedagogical philosophy to school districts to establishing specific content-standards in Englishlanguage arts, history-social science, mathematics, and science. For the first time, we are stating explicitly in all four core subject areas the content that students need to acquire in kindergarten through grade twelve. California's standards are rigorous and provide schools guidance regarding the knowledge and skills needed for the information-based global economy of the  $21^{
m st}$ century. With student mastery of these content-standards, California schools will be on a par with those in the best educational systems in other states and nations. Furthermore, the content is attainable by all students, given sufficient time, except for those few who have severe disabilities. We regard the standards as firm but not unyielding; they will be modified in

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

Standards-based education is consistent with California's tradition of local control. Standards describe what to teach, not how to teach it. In areas of pedagogical dispute, the standards do not endorse one method over another. Specifically, in the subjects of mathematics and science, the standards for high school are discipline specific but not grade level specific. Local school officials, along with parents and other community members, have the flexibility to take the standards and design specific curricular and instructional strategies that best deliver the content to their students.

8. Curriculum Frameworks: Using the content standards as a foundation, the Curriculum Commission develops curriculum frameworks to provide educators and publishers a more detailed guideline for the development of K-12 curriculum. After the Commission develops a K-12 curriculum framework for the subject under review, the Board must approve it.

9. The California Education Code requires that the Board update or revise State curriculum frameworks every six years for core subjects, and every eight years for non-core subjects. See California Education Code § 60200. (Core subjects include the areas of reading, writing, mathematics, historysocial science, and science. See California Education Code § 60603(e).) For grades K-8, the Board must adopt at least five separate basic instructional materials for each grade level and each core subject area. See California Education Code § 60001. Furthermore, the Board is required to set forth policies and

2

7

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

procedures regarding the development of curriculum frameworks and the adoption of instructional materials. See California Education Code § 60005.

The Board updates and revises the curriculum frameworks based on recommendations provided to it by the Commission. Prior to making its recommendations, the Commission thoroughly reviews, evaluates and revises the curriculum framework for each subject area. The review process for each subject area begins well before the given cycle for that subject ends. The framework and its accompanying criteria for evaluating instructional materials must be approved by the State Board of Education at least thirty months prior to the date the materials are to be approved. In other words, the Commission may begin developing the framework for a subject area two years before that subject's next cycle for curriculum framework ends and four years before the subject's next adoption cycle for instructional materials begins. Thus, the Commission is continuously

To date the Board has curriculum frameworks in all core subjects: the Board approved new reading/language arts and mathematics frameworks in December 1998; a history-social science framework in 2000; and science in 2002. The Board has also approved a foreign language framework in 2001 and health frameworks in 2002. The Commission is currently revising the visual and performing arts framework with a projected completion date of January 2004. Development of the physical education

evaluating the curriculum frameworks.

framework will resume once the Board adopts a completed contentstandard in this area.

instructional materials are expensive and time-consuming tasks. The Commission recommends to the Board numerous education experts throughout the State to assist in the development of curriculum frameworks and the evaluation of K-8 instructional materials. They make sure publishers have provided accurate and up-to-date research and information in their K-8 instructional materials. Furthermore, the Commission collaborates with state-approved publishers to ensure that they will facilitate the successful delivery of these standards.

public manner that encourages public input. The meetings of the Commission and its advisory committees - Curriculum Framework and Criteria Committee, Instructional Materials Advisory Panel and the Content Review Panels - are open to the public and include the opportunity for comments by anyone in attendance. In fact, the Commission prepares a draft framework for field review that is available for public comment for at least 45 days and holds public hearings on the document. Furthermore, after the Commission recommends a framework to the Board, the Board then holds a public hearing prior to considering that framework for adoption. All draft documents are available on the CDE's website for public view. In addition, the framework is distributed to

the twenty-four Learning Resource Display Centers throughout the state for public comment.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

2

After adoption by the Board, frameworks are available on the CDE's Web site and are available for purchase through the CDE. The importance of the frameworks was stated in the Budget Act of 2000-01 when \$ 1.5 million was appropriated for the printing and distributions of free Reading Language Arts and Mathematics Frameworks to all teachers. The CDE shipped the frameworks to districts in May and June 2001 so that all teachers would have Reading/Language Arts and Mathematics Frameworks.

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Curriculum frameworks have drawn state and 15. national recognition because they focus on the delivery of standards-based instruction. The content standards describe what educators and professionals in the field expect K-12 students to know. Based on current research in education and the specific content area, the frameworks then provide a firm foundation for curriculum and instruction by describing the scope and sequence of knowledge and the skills that all students are expected to master within that subject area. The frameworks' overarching dedication is to balance factual knowledge, fundamental skills, and application of knowledge and skills. In addition, the frameworks establish the criteria that the Board uses to evaluate what instructional materials it should adopt.

26

27

28

16. Instructional Materials Evaluation and Adoption The Board has constitutional authority to adopt Process:

textbooks for grades K-8. (Article IX, Section 7.5 of the California Constitution.) The Commission advises the Board in the process of adopting these instructional materials. Using the frameworks as its guide, the Commission studies and evaluates instructional materials submitted for adoption by national and state publishers. State law mandates that instructional materials for grades K-8 must be evaluated for consistency with the criteria and standards in the Board's curriculum frameworks.

See Educ. Code §§ 60200-60204. For use in grades K-8, state law requires school districts to select and buy instructional materials that are on the Board-adopted list if they buy instructional materials with State instructional materials funds. Frameworks also guide school districts' selection of instructional resources for grades 9 through 12. See Education Code §§ 60240-60242.

materials for kindergarten through grade eight may be applied to grades 9 through 12, the "standards maps" for grades 9 through 12 provide explicit guidance to districts in the selection of standards-based instructional materials. Assembly Bill 699 (Canciamilla, Chapter 591 of the Statutes of 2001) requires publishers to submit these standards maps to local educational agencies (LEAs) so that the school districts can determine the extent to which instructional materials or combination of instructional materials for pupils in grades 9-12 align with the content standards adopted by the Board (Education Code Section 60451[d]). The State Board adopted the standards maps on

February 6, 2002 and by August 1, 2002 publishers were required to include completed standards maps for materials offered for sale in the four core content areas in grades 9-12. These standards maps must be submitted to LEAs before the purchase of any materials after that date. These templates are filled out by the publishers citing where their materials align with the content standards. The standards maps are a tool for LEAs to use when evaluating instructional materials for alignment with content standards as local school boards must certify that materials are aligned with the California content standards (Education Code Section 60451[c]). Information packets on the legislation and copies of the standards maps were mailed to all county offices of education, school districts, and charter schools. They are located at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/cfir/912stmap.html">http://www.cde.ca.gov/cfir/912stmap.html</a>.

18. The Board traditionally adopts only basic instructional materials programs (i.e., programs that are designed for use by pupils and their teachers as a principal learning resource and that meet in organization and content the basic requirements of a full course of study, generally one school year in length).

19. Primary adoptions, which are the first adoptions following the approval of a new state framework, are conducted every six years for the four core curriculum areas. Education Code Section 60200.1(a)(2) reset the base-year schedule for these adoptions as follows: history-social science (1999), science

ΙI

(2000), mathematics (2001), and reading/language arts (2002). Primary adoptions in foreign language, visual and performing arts, and health are to be conducted every eight years. In all cases, a follow-up adoption of instructional materials (using the same evaluation criteria as described above) is to be scheduled between adoptions.

20. As with the framework development process, the adoption process is designed to ensure broad public input. The adoption process involves three concurrent steps:

21. Legal compliance review. The legal compliance review, also known as the social content review, is conducted to ensure that all instructional resources used in California public schools comply with Education Code sections 60040-60044 as well as Board guidelines contained in Standards for Evaluating Instructional Materials for Social Content (2000 edition). Resources not in compliance with the standards must be revised or be withdrawn. For grades K-8, the CDE conducts social content/legal compliance reviews. School districts may also conduct their own reviews.

22. <u>Public review and comment</u>. Samples of instructional resources submitted for adoption are available for public review at sites located throughout the state. Written comments on the resources are forwarded to the Commission and the Board for consideration. In addition, three separate public hearings are held prior to adoption: one before the appropriate

4

5

 $\epsilon$ 

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

Education content review. The education content 23. review is based on the Board-adopted framework and the content standards it embodies. Evaluation criteria based on the framework are developed by the Curriculum Commission and adopted by the Board. After a statewide recruitment and application process, the Curriculum Commission recommends and SBE appoints two panels: the Instructional Materials Advisory Panel (IMAP) and the Content Review Panel (CRP). The IMAP is composed primarily of classroom teachers (but also includes other participants, such as administrators, curriculum specialists, university faculty, and parents) who evaluate materials according to all categories of the criteria. The CRP is composed of subject-matter experts who review materials according to the content criteria and ensure that the materials are accurate, aligned with SBE-adopted content standards in the four curricular areas, and based on current and confirmed research. CRP members review only those materials or parts of them that pertain to their area of expertise. CRP members are a resource for the IMAP.

22 23

24

25

26

24. Both panels receive training on the Board-adopted criteria and individually review submitted programs. The CRP and IMAP prepare a joint report and recommendation on each submission. The IMAP/CRP recommendations are forwarded to the Commission. The Commission then develops a written report

27 28

Ü

containing its recommendation on each submission, which is forwarded to SBE for action.

25. The Board then considers the recommendations, related documents, and public comments prior to adopting or not adopting each submission. The Commission's report is modified as necessary to reflect Board's action, and the final document is widely distributed and posted on the Internet.

the State Legislature—through the 1998-99 Budget Act and AB 2041 Schiff-Bustamante Standards-Based Instructional Materials

Program(Chapter 333, Statutes of 1998)—made a four-year, \$1

billion commitment to the purchase of new, standards-aligned

instructional materials. Each year, beginning in 1998-99, the

Legislature appropriated \$250 million for the purchase of

instructional materials aligned with the SBE-adopted content

standards in the four core curriculum areas: reading/language

arts, mathematics, history-social science, and science. The funds

were distributed on the basis of prior-year enrollment. This

program has terminated; however, some districts still have

carryover funds from these appropriations, and they have until

June 30, 2004 to spend all these funds.

27. <u>Instructional Materials Funding Realignment</u>

Program (IMFRP): AB 1781 (Chapter 802, Statutes 2002) established the Instructional Materials Funding Realignment Program (IMFRP).

Beginning with the 2002-03 fiscal year, IMFRP consolidates

previous funding sources for instructional materials. Specifically, it provides that local educational agencies must use state instructional materials funds to ensure that each K-12 student is provided with a standards-aligned textbook and/or basic instructional materials by the beginning of the first school term that commences no later than 24 months after those materials were adopted by the State Board of Education. Cal. Educ. Code Ch. 3.25, §§ 60420-60424. For kindergarten through grade eight, these textbooks and/or instructional materials must be from the State adoption lists. In addition, priority must first be given to the State's recent adoptions for reading/language arts/English language development, mathematics and then to science and history-social science. Once a local governing board certifies that it has provided each pupil with standards aligned instructional materials, the local educational agency may use 100 percent of any remaining IMFRP funds to purchase other instructional materials, which are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

28. The local governing board is also required to hold an annual public hearing (pursuant to Education Code Section 60119) and make a determination by resolution as to whether each pupil in the district has sufficient instructional materials in each subject that are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks.

26

27

28

1	29. Professional Development and Instructional		
2	Materials: In 2001, the State legislature enacted AB 466 Strom-		
3	Martin (Chapter 737, Statutes 2001) and AB 75 Steinberg (Chapter		
4	697, Statutes 2001). This legislation established funding to		
5	provide professional development in the form of training on how		
6	to use standards aligned instructional materials in the areas of		
7	mathematics and reading. Moreover, these statutes are		
8	specifically aimed at particular educators: AB 466 addresses		
9	training for teachers and paraprofessionals, and AB 75 focuses or		
10	administrators (notably principals). Both statutes, and their		
11	accompanying funding, focus on the need to train educators on the		
12	classroom use of state-adopted instructional materials.		
13			
14	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of		
15	the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.		
16			
17	Executed this 'day of August 2003, at Sacramento,		
18	California.		
19			
20			
21			
22	Thomas Adams		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			