	U .		
1	JOHN F. DAUM (SB #52313)		
2	FRAMROZE M. VIRJEE (SB #120401) DAVID L. HERRON (SB #158881))	
3	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Embarcadero Center West		
4	275 Battery Street San Francisco, California 941:	11-3305	
5	Telephone: 415.984.8700	11 000	
6	Attorneys for Defendant State o	of California	•
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CA	LIFORNIA
9	CITY AND COUNT	Y OF SAN FRANC	ISCO
10			
11	ELIEZER WILLIAMS, et al.,	Case No. 312	236
12	Plaintiffs,)) Hearing Date:	September 17, 2003
13	vs.)	Time:	3:30 p.m.
14) STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DELAINE)	Department:	20
15	EASTIN, State Superintendent)		
16	DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, STATE)		Hon. Peter J. Busch
17	BOARD OF EDUCATION,)		
18	Defendants.)		·
19)		
20	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION.		
21)		
22			
23	DECLARATION OF RUSS WEIKLE 1		
24	CALIFORNIA'S OPPOSITION TO	PLAINTIFFS' MO DICATION	TION FOR SUMMARY
25	ADU U	DICATION	
6			
27			
8	LA2:680799.1		

1. I am currently employed by Dry Creek Joint Elementary School District as the principal at Silverado Middle School. Prior to July 1, 2003, I was employed by the Department of Education of the State of California (CDE). I make this declaration in support of the opposition by Defendant State of California to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Adjudication. All the facts set forth in this declaration are known to me personally and, if called as a witness, I could testify competently thereto.

the lead of one of the Scholastic Audit Teams of the School
Improvement Division with the California Department of Education.
Pursuant to the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA),
Title I, Section 1116(d)6) (B), California established the
Scholastic Audit in September 2001. The purpose of the Scholastic
Audit process was to conduct an in depth investigation of
specific dimensions of those schools that had consistently failed
to make academic progress, generally referred to as Program
Improvement (PI) schools and to determine the strategies that
these schools and their respective districts needed to take to
improve the conditions necessary for academic achievement in
English language arts and mathematics.

3. The first group of schools that participated in the Scholastic Audit and Targeted Intervention Process were the <u>LA2:680799.1</u>

Title I schools that had consistently failed to make academic progress since first identified in 1996-97. These Program Improvement Cohort I schools were identified by school districts as not having met locally adopted standards of performance. The PI Cohort I schools subject to the process in 2001-2002 were those that met the following criteria: (1) Schools that failed to make adequate yearly progress for four years, including review of their 2001 Stanford-9 and API data in mid-September of that year, and(2) Schools that were not participating in the Immediate Intervention/Under-performing Schools Program (II/USP), or the Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration (CSRD)Program.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

11

Locke Senior High School (Locke) was one of the 4. schools included in PI Cohort I. In October 2001, I led the Scholastic Audit Team that reviewed Locke. During this five-day audit, our team, which consisted of 10 members total, intensely reviewed school operations in these audit areas: 1) curriculum, 2) instruction, 3) classroom and school level assessments, 4) evaluation and accountability, 5) professional development, 6) leadership and administration, 7) school organization and resources, 8) school culture and environment, and 9) family and community engagement. The team interviewed administrative staff members, teachers, and students, observed classroom sessions, met with parents and other community members, and reviewed various documents collected from the site. The audit resulted in an "Scholastic Audit Team Report," (Audit Report) which provided a listing of findings, recommendations for improvements to increase

28

of Education negotiated with the district and school personnel a specific time-line by which the various items for improvement would be met, the specific steps that the district and school would take to meet the items, and the appropriate parties responsible for each item. The product of these negotiations was a Joint Intervention Agreement (JIA) between the CDE and the district. (Attached, as Exhibit A, is a true and correct copy of the JIA for Locke.) Both the Scholastic Audit Review Team Report and the JIA were shared with the Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) school board, school staff, and the community. LAUSD and Locke had approximately 18 months from January 2002 to implement the corrective actions specified in the JIA.

execution of the JIA, I continued to work with LAUSD and Locke for the following eighteen months. Beginning in March 2002, LAUSD provided me quarterly written reports prior to monitoring visits that addressed Locke's progress regarding each of the findings in the JIA. In addition to reviewing these reports, I also conducted quarterly on-site visits of Locke to verify the report, to observe classrooms, and interview staff, students, and parents. At the conclusion of each monitoring visit, a report of progress was sent to Roy Romer, Superintendent of LAUSD, from the California Department of Education. My final visit to Locke was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

17

16

Plaintiffs also state:

18 19

20

21

"[0]bservations and interviews indicate outdated textbooks, . . . a lack of textbooks, materials, and supplies, and equipment." (Plaintiffs' Motion, p. 14; Audit Report, p. 9)

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

8. When we did our initial onsite review of Locke, the team conducted classroom observations. It became apparent that the students at Locke had not been issued their textbooks. In the classrooms that I observed, the students were working out of a class set. In the open forums and in conversations with teachers, parents and students, we confirmed that the books had

	not been issued. I went to the book supply room and confirmed
	that textbooks were there. I discussed this with the school
	principal who said that it was LAUSD's policy that the books
	should not be issued to students until "norming" day, which would
	be October 10 th . She told me that after norming day, most of the
	student transfers are completed and there is more stability in
	the school and therefore, textbooks are less likely to be lost or
	not returned when a student is transferred to another school.
	9. After discussing this concern with Silva
	Rousseau, the District I superintendent in LAUSD, the books
	started being delivered to the classrooms for distribution to the
	students. By Friday of that week, most of the books on hand had
	been delivered, and it is my understanding that some books were
	still on order and had not arrived at the school yet.
	10. The JIA addressed this issue of issuing textbooks
	to students in one of the benchmarks for finding number 3.
	Finding number 3 held:
ĺ	
	"Classroom instruction is not based on student need and is not
	designed to improve academic performance in English/language
	arts, mathematics, and English language Development." (JIA, p.7)
	Corrective action B requires:
1	

LA2:680799.1

have access to standards based texts,

"General fund and categorical funding will be

reviewed and revised to ensure all students

7

8 9

1.0

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

technology, and supplemental materials required to improve academic success." (JIA, p. 8)

Bench mark B-1, third paragraph requires:

"District I will ensure texts, materials and technology are available for all students." (JIA, p. 8)

In the last quarterly report to Mr. Romer, it was affirmed that corrective action B had been completed. All students did have textbooks. However, LAUSD's policy to not have textbooks available to students to take home for the first six weeks of school was identified as an ongoing problem. In particular, the last quarterly report emphasizes that regardless of whether students share textbooks during this period, standards-based instruction needs to occur conscientiously from the first day of instruction forward.

Locke's participation as a Capacity Adjustment 12. Program (CAP) receiving school for LAUSD was identified in the audit as a problem and both a corrective action and benchmarks were imposed to address Locke's role in CAP. (Audit Report, p. 1, 3, 5, & 7; JIA, p. 5) The ultimate decision by LAUSD was to limit Locke to only District I transfers. This had the unintended result of also limiting the transfers out of Locke. In the fall of 2002, Locke had an additional 600 students enrolled at the beginning of school. There were not enough teachers or supplies for this additional population. Although this increase cannot be attributed directly to the change in CAP policy, it was a concern LA2:680799.1

i i	
1	and we found it imperative that LAUSD administration support the
2	school by providing adequate administrative support to address
3	this 600 student increase. Additional registrars were set up and
4	students were assigned to the gymnasium until teachers and
5	supplies could be obtained. There were no subsequent issues
6	raised concerning inadequate supplies of textbooks.
7	
8	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of
9	the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
10	
11	Executed this 19 day of August 2003, at Roseville,
12	California.
13	- 1.4/
14	Russ Weikle
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

EXHIBIT A

Joint Intervention Agreement
Between
the California Department of Education
and
Los Angeles Unified School District
for
Locke Senior High School

JOINT INTERVENTION AGREEMENT

between
The California Department of Education and
Los Angeles Unified School District for
Locke Senior High School

The corrective actions for Locke Senior High School, herein the Joint Intervention Agreement between the California Department of Education and Los Angeles Unified School District, will be implemented in accordance with the findings developed by the California Department of Education Scholastic Audit conducted on the week of October 22, 2001, at Locke Senior High School. The Los Angeles Unified School District agrees to:

- 1. Develop quarterly progress reports on the audit team's six findings and related prescribed corrective actions on 3/30/02, 6/30/02, 9/30/02, 12/30/02, 3/30/03, and 6/30/03 for the State Superintendent of Public Instruction and present them to the Los Angeles Unified School District Board of Education at a public meeting. The quarterly report will include clear and specific benchmarks for progress. These benchmarks will provide evidence that the district/school is implementing the corrective action steps according to schedule, as well as evidence that these actions are positively affecting student achievement.
- 2. Ongoing monitoring by the California Department of Education on the progress towards meeting the prescribed benchmarks that will show progress toward meeting the school's API targets for each year within the next 18 months.
- 3. Provide the fiscal and human resources that are necessary to support the required corrective actions.

Finding No.1: Locke High School lacks formal systems in essential areas for a functioning high school. These areas include: registration, attendance, scheduling, discipline, data collection, and processing. This creates a school that is chaotic, fragmented, and dysfunctional. The lack of these systems makes it impossible for the leadership to identify, deliver, monitor, and assess student achievement and hinders the effectiveness of all instructional programs.

Corrective	Implement	Note: * Denotes primary responsible Benchmarks of	Respon
Actions	Timeline	Progress	Indiv.
A. The administration will support the counseling staff in the reorganization of student support systems. Systems will be designed to ensure efficient and effective processes of registration and placement of student, and monitoring of student progress.	March 2002 and ongoing	District I will resume the services of a retired head counselor who identified the problems in the counseling office and began working with the school to correct them.	Dist. I Supt. Asst. *Prin Sch. Coun. Svc.
Balance the student caseload among all counselors.	Written plan by September 2002	1. The school will develop a written plan for equitable and appropriate assignment of students to counselors. The plan will be implemented for the 2002-2003 school year.	Asst. Prin. Sch. Coun. Svcs. &

Corrective	Implement	Benchmarks of	Respon.
Actions 2. Provide an operational telephone for each counselor and at each clerical station in the counseling office.	Timeline Installed by July 2002	Progress 2. Phones will be installed for each counselor.	Indiv. *LAUSD Asst. Supt for Tech & Dist. I Supt.
 Purchase, install, and network computers for each counselor and clerical staff member. 	Installed by September 2002	After E-rate installation computers will be installed and networked.	LHS Prin.
 Purchase and install a Windows-based student information system. 	In use by September 2002 and ongoing	4. District I and LHS will work with LAUSD to update the student information system and work out problems in its use at Locke HS.	*LHS Prin., Dist. I ITAF, &
5. Provide professional training for counselors, deans, administrators, and clerical staff on the operation of a student data information system.	In effect by September 2002 and ongoing	 5. Counselors, dcans, and administrators will be trained to use the system to: register students, keep track of disciplinary actions, grades, attendance, etc. Provide parents with information make collaborative decisions about student placement. 	*LHS Prin. & Asst. Prin. Sch. Couns. Svcs.
B. Administrative leadership will create essential organizational systems that focus on gathering, recording, sharing, and using data to monitor student achievement. These include individual telephones and computers for counselors and clerical staff.	September 2002	Refer to Finding 1, Item A benchmarks for technology systems to be installed for staff use.	LHS Prin.
Provide training to LHS staff on how to collect, analyze, and use data for the improvement of instructional program	March 2002 and ongoing Access to SIS by September 2002	1. District I continues to provide analyzed data and training for all staff in the school-site use of Stanford 9 results (particularly related to the reading and mathematics content clusters and Performance Assignments) to guide instruction. Teachers and counselors will have access to these data through the SIS system.	Dist. I Supt. & *Data Analyst

Соггестіче	Implement	Benchmarks of	D
Actions	Timeline	Progress	Respon. Indiv.
2. Base all future decisions on concrete data and communicate this with staff.	September 2002 and ongoing	2. Professional development planned for Locke HS to implement standards-based instruction will lead to greater alignment between standards-based instruction and grading practices. Also the school staff will be trained and have access to analyzed standardized test data.	Dist. I Supt. & *LHS Prin.
3. Benchmark student progress toward standards.	September 2002 and ongoing	3. Refer to Item 2 response. Professional development with UCLA and District I staff will engage teachers in designing lessons with intermediate standards and assessments to scaffold students toward meeting California Content Standards.	Dist. I Supt. UCLA & *LHS Prin.
	September 2002 and ongoing	Teachers will use student work and test data to determine appropriate benchmarks toward meeting standards.	Dist. I Supt., *LHS Prin. & Tchrs.
4. Administration, counselors, and program coordinators will build student support systems into the school structure with an emphasis on "no students fail'.	March 2002 and ongoing	4. District I will revise agreements with UCLA's EAOP and CBOP programs to augment the work of school-based counselors in assisting students to plan for college admission and provide tutorial services.	Dist. I Dir. of HS Prog. & *LHS Prin.
	March 2002 and ongoing	The Principles of Learning training and the bi-weekly lesson design sessions will assist schools in integrating literacy instruction in all content areas and giving greater attention to language acquisition as a necessary requisite for literacy acquisition. These measures will lead to academic achievement for all students in all content areas.	LAUSD Prof. Dev., Off. of Instr. & Dist. I Supt. *LHS Prin.

Corrective	Implement	Benchmarks of	Respon.
Actions	Timeline	Progress	Indiv.
5. Saturday program will focus on targeted interventions in reading comprehension, writing, and mathematics related to state standards.	September 2002 and ongoing	5. Implement an effective Saturday tutorial program focusing on content reading, writing, and mathematics.	*LHS Prin. & Leader- ship Team
6. Teachers will write and teach standards-based lessons focused on reading comprehension, writing, and/or mathematics.	March 2002 and ongoing	6. District I has implemented a Cycle for Clear Expectations and Academic Rigor in Standards-based Teaching and Learning around which principals and instructional leadership receive training twice a month.	District I *Supt. & Dir. of Instr.
	March 2002 and ongoing	A literacy and content expert team from UCLA will engage mathematics and social studies teachers in biweekly lesson design and lesson study sessions to integrate literacy instruction with content instruction to enable students to meet language arts and other content standards.	*Dist. I Supt., UCLA, Sec. Lit. Spec.
	September 2002 – to be reviewed January 2003	 Students identified as developmental readers based on Stanford 9 scores and reading assessments will be assigned to a two- period-block of reading/language arts class. 	LHS *Prin. & Asst. Prin. Sch Coun. Svc.
C. Implement a system to deal with the large number of transit students due to LAUSD's Capacity Adjustment Program (CAP). The system must include assessment for placement and support for students entering midsemester and on strengthening academic skills.	Changes by April 2002 – implemented September 2002	District I will work with the CAP program staff of LAUSD to alter the sending and receiving patterns for Locke High School.	LAUSD Dir. Housin g& *Dist. I Supt. & LHS Prin.

Finding No.2: The curriculum at Locke High School is not aligned with California State Standards. Curricular offerings do not support the academic and career needs of students.

Corrective	Implem.	Benchmarks of	Respon.
Actions	Timeline	Progress	Indiv.
A. The district shall provide opportunities for all administrators, counselors, and teachers to receive intensive training in California English - language arts and Mathematics Content Standards.	July 2002	A priority-staffing program will be implemented in the schools identified for Immediate Corrective Action.	LAUSD Assoc. Supt for Hum. Resour.
Provide LHS assistance in identifying and providing opportunities and resources for staff training in CA Content Standards.	March 2002 and ongoing	District I builds on LAUSD's partnership with the Institute for Learning to provide principals and instructional teams training in building the knowledge and implementation of CA Content Standards.	LAUSD Prof. Dev. Unit *Dist. I Supt.
2. Provide assistance to site administration on revising the current organizational structure to support staff participation in professional development opportunities.	March 2002 and ongoing	2. School administration will work with District I to institute the biweekly standards-based lesson design training with UCLA for all mathematics and social studies teachers.	Dist. I Supt. & *LHS Prin.
B. Create and distribute document that aligns LAUSD with California State Standards, including the California English Language Development Standards. A district-supported curriculum leader will be assigned the task of cross-walking the two documents.	September 2002	B. Professional development is based on California Content Standards, not LAUSD standards. Central Office has already updated Guidelines for Instruction, to guide teachers in implementing the state standards for each core subject.	LAUSD Prof. Dev. & *LHS Prin.
C. Staff needs to receive training on how to gather, analyze, and use data to drive the school's curriculum. The master schedule needs to reflect programs and courses designed to meet the needs of students based on data-driven decisions.	Begin March 2002 and ongoing – to be reviewed January 2003		LAUSD Admin Acdrny. ,Prof. Dev. Unit, & *LHS Prin.

Corrective Actions	Implem. Timeline	Benchmarks of Progress	Respon.
1. Provide training to site administration and leadership on how to gather, analyze, and use data to drive the school's curriculum.	In progress and ongoing	1. New principal and leadership team will receive training developed by District I to gather, analyze, and use data to drive the school's curriculum.	Dist. I Data Analyst, Prin. & Ldrshp Team
2. The master schedule will reflect programs and courses designed to meet the needs of students based on data-driven decisions.	September 2002 and ongoing — to be reviewed January 2003	2. Changes in course offerings designed to meet the needs of students will be enacted for the 2002-2003 school year. Changes will be based on student data and teacher recommendations.	LHS *Prin., Asst. Prin. Couns. Svcs., Ldrshp Team

Finding No.3: Classroom instruction is not based on student need and is not designed to improve academic performance in English -language arts, Mathematics, and English Language Development.

Corrective Actions	Implem.	Benchmarks of	Respon.
A. Provide opportunities for all administrators, counselors, and teachers to receive intensive training in effective instructional strategies aligned to California English-language arts and Mathematics Content Standards.	Timeline September 2002	A. District I will work with LAUSD and explore partnerships with higher education to provide comprehensive training for counselors.	Indiv. Dist. I Supt.& LAUSD Dir. HS Prog.
1. Provide LHS assistance in identifying and providing opportunities and resources for staff training in instructional strategies aligned to CA Content Standards.	Ongoing – to be reviewed January 2003	1. District I has embarked on a path of providing professional development to principals and leadership teams in strategies aligned to CA Content Standards.	LAUSD Prof. Dev. Unit & *Dist. I Supt.
2. Provide assistance to site administration on revising the current organizational structure to support staff participation in professional development opportunities.	March and ongoing	2. LHS has already organized to schedule teachers of mathematics and social studies in biweekly standards-based lesson design.	*LHS Prin., UCLA & Asst. Prin. Sch. Coun. Svcs.

Corrective	Implem.	Benchmarks of	Dames
Actions	Timeline	Progress	Respon. Indiv.
	Ongoing	LAUSD provides 15	LAUSD
	'	banked-time professional	&
	1	development days for	*LHS
		professional development	Prin.
		during the school year	7 1 111.
	July 2002	District I will provide Z	*Dist. I
		time for two weeks of	Supt. &
		intensive professional	LHS
		development beyond the	Prin.
B. General fund and categorical funding will	Contout	regular school year.	· - · · ·
be reviewed and revised to ensure all students	September 2002 and	B. The District I High Schools	Dist. I
have access to standards-based texts,		Director and District I Business	Supt.,
technology, and supplemental materials	ongoing	staff are reviewing LHS's general	Dir. of
required to improve academic success.		and categorical funding sources	HS
•		and spending patterns to ensure	Prog. &
		alignment to standards-based	*LHS
		instruction with an emphasis on	Prin.
		mathematics and literacy.	
 Support staff will conduct an inventory 	In progress	1. LAUSD is conducting a textbook	1 41100
on text, materials, and technology	and ongoing	inventory to determine the	LAUSD
available to students.		adequacy of textbooks for	Asst. Supt.
		standards-based instruction. New	Tech. &
		state-approved math adoptions	Text-
		and purchases took place in the	books
		2001-2002 school year.	*LHS
		•	Prin.
	In progress	Adoptions from the state-approve	1 44400
	and adopted	list for language arts will take	LAUSD
	Spring 2002	place in 2002.	Asst.
	1 5-11-	piuco ili 2002.	Supt.
			Textbks *Dist. I
			Supt.
	Ongoing	District I will ensure texts,	Jupe.
		materials, and technology are	Dist, I
		available for all students.	Supt. &
			*LHS
	September	LHS also has the support of	Prin.
	2002 – to be	District I Instructional	
	reviewed	Technology staff in	Dist. I
	January 2003	implementing the Digital High	TTAF &
		School grant that proposes to use	*LHS
		technology as an effective	Prin.
		instructional tool.	

	Corrective Actions	Implem.	Benchmarks of Respon.
2.	Teachers will be surveyed to identify essential materials to ensure quality instruction.	Timeline Ongoing – to be reviewed January 2003	Progress Indiv. 2. Teachers will participate in the selection of supplementary instructional materials. Teacher reps are involved in the LAUSD process for adopting stateapproved texts.
3.	Teachers will submit requests to administration and Site Council for supplemental material and equipment and software that could be purchased with categorical funds.	Ongoing – to be reviewed January 2003	3. As part of lesson design and lesson study, teachers will continue to identify supplementary materials. Funds will be made available for purchase of supplemental materials determined by sitebased decisions.
4.	Administration and Site Council will review budgets and honor reasonable requests made from teachers for supplemental equipment, software, and materials.	Ongoing – to be reviewed January 2003	4. District I Instructional Technology staff will work with LHS to identify software to promote literacy and mathematics. Dist. I ITAF & *LHS Prin. & Dept. Chrs.
C.	Provide opportunities for all administrators, counselors, and teachers to receive training and materials regarding STAR and CAHSEE.		
1.	Provide current information regarding all state testing to principals. Provide current information regarding all state testing to all staff members.	May 2002 and ongoing — to be reviewed September 2002	1. District I will provide training and information in principals and leadership meetings regarding STAR and CAHSEE as part of the standards-based training. The District I standards-based coordinator will provide training to teachers and other school-site staff. LAUSE Prof. Dev. Unit, & Dist. I Dir. of Inst.
2.	Provide current information regarding state testing to parents and students in written form (English and Spanish)	Immediately upon signing the Joint Agreement and ongoing.	2. District I will prepare reports that analyze test data for parents and students in English and Spanish. Dist. I *Supt. & Dir. of Inst.

Finding No.4: The school culture and climate at Locke High School does not support an atmosphere of rigorous academic achievement, creating an environment in which students cannot focus on learning and teachers on teaching.

Corrective	Implem.	Benchmarks of	Respon.
Actions	Timeline	Progress	Indiv.
A. Discipline policies and procedures will	Block	A. To create a safe school environment	LHS
be focused on school-wide safety and on	scheduling -	and reduce discipline issues, the	APSCS
discipline issues that have a negative	September	Superintendent of District I	&
impact on student achievement.	Discipline policies implementati on - Immediately upon signing	recommends block scheduling at Locke High School. Block scheduling will reduce the fragmentation of instructional time and provide the opportunity for teachers to apprentice students in content-based literacy and provide increased opportunities to provide	*Prin.
	the Joint Agreement	differentiated instruction during the class period.	
I. Form a school climate task force of administrators, teachers, students, and parents to develop a discipline plan that is fair, appropriate, clear with consistent consequences for inappropriate behavior.	Plan by July 2002 – ongoing implementati on	1. The District I interim team has begun establishing a positive school climate by redefining out-of-classroom personnel roles and enforcing new or existing policies. Implementation, which will continue with the new principal, will result in school policies and systems that address student tardiness, class truancy, and discipline issues. Policies are subject to Superintendent approval.	LHS *Prin. & Ldrshp Team
 Develop a student handbook or discipline guide that clearly defines the rules of the school including clearly stated and defined consequences that become progressively stronger if the same infraction occurs. 	September 2002	2. A task force of teachers, administrators, teachers, and students will develop the handbook.	LHS Prin.
3. Develop a school wide recognition program to reinforce positive behaviors.	Plan completed by August 2002 and ongoing implementati on – to be reviewed	3. The current assistant principal is working with a school subcommittee to plan events that recognize attendance, leadership, and academic achievement. The new administrators will continue this work with faculty and	LHS Asst. Prin., *Prin. & Ldrsh Team

Corrective	Implem.	Benchmarks of	Respon.
Actions	Timeline	Progress	Indiv.
	January 2003	students to create an ongoing system of positive reinforcement.	
4. Actively engage all Assistant Principals equally in the handling of major discipline Issues.	In progress and ongoing — to be reviewed January 2003	 Assistant Principal roles in handling discipline are being re- defined and will be further defined around the new principal due to begin in January 2002. 	Dist. I Supt. & *LHS Prin.
	September 2002 – to be reviewed June 2003	A recommendation from District I Superintendent is to reorganize the administrative team to create a ninth grade administrator to oversee a ninth grade "house" and focus on helping ninth graders make a positive transition into high school.	Dist I Supt. & *LHS Prin.
5. Make efficient use of security staff by positioning them strategically on the campus.	By September 2003	 Initiated by District I interim team. Additional training will take place. 	LHS Prin.
6. Institute a tardy policy and consequences that are consistently followed by all teachers and allows students to enter classrooms rather than sitting in a "tardy room."	In progress and ongoing — to be reviewed September 2003	 A tardy policy is in development and will be completed by the new principal working with faculty and parents. 	LHS *Prin. & Ldrshp Team
B. Create an atmosphere in which students are able to focus on learning and teachers on teaching.	2003		
Institute a master schedule with two lunch periods.	2002-2003	1. The two lunch periods should be explored as part of block scheduling.	*Dist. I Supt. & LHS Prin.
2. Take period attendance rather than daily attendance.	Exploration in by July 2002	2. Explore implementation with LAUSD attendance systems.	LHS *Prin. and APSSS

Corrective Actions	Implem. Timeline	Benchmarks of Progress	Respon. Indiv.
Revise the "tardy sweep" system in support of maximizing instructional time for all students.	March 2002	3. Institute new tardy sweep policy.	LHS *Prin., Ldrshp Team
C. Model and insist upon polite and respectful behavior towards staff, students, parents, and community members.	Immediately upon signing the Joint Agreement and ongoing	C. Training and policies will be implemented for all members of the LHS community to establish expectations for respectful behavior toward all members of the school community. Training includes attention to inter-cultural understanding and respect.	Dist. I Supt. & *LHS Prin.
Office staff will be trained and will use appropriate telephone manners.	March 2002	Arrange for professional development for office staff at Locke HS.	Dist. I Dir. HS Prog. & *LHS Prin.
	March 2002 and ongoing	Because of the high incidence of absenteeism and instability among classified staff at Locke High School, District I will apply existing progressive disciplinary policy for attendance.	LHS Prin.
	September 2002	Classified staff will be required to re-apply for existing positions. Re-assignment will be based on past performance and signed commitments to District I's vision and goals.	Dist. I Supt.& *LHS Prin
 All parents and visitors to LHS will be acknowledged when entering the main office and offered assistance. 	Immediate upon signing the Joint Agreement	2. See Item 1 above.	*LHS Prin.
3. Teachers will be encouraged and provided access to telephones to contact parents regarding academic progress of students in their charge.	December 2002	3. Resolve issues surrounding telephone access and provide outside lines for all classrooms.	LAUSD Dist. I *Facili- ties.Dir. & LHS Prin.

Corrective	Implem.	Benchmarks of	Respon.
Actions	Timeline	Progress	Indiv.
	Immediately upon signing the Joint Agreement and ongoing	Set expectations for all teachers to establish contact with parents through telephone, parent conferences, and written communications.	LHS Prin.
4. A survey designed around school discipline and culture will be developed, distributed, and collected.	March 2002	 The survey will be designed to reflect inter-cultural awareness and understanding toward creating a climate of mutual respect. 	LHS *Prin. & Ldrshp Team
5. Increase, if possible, the number of Latino and/or Spanish speaking administrative, credentialed and support staff.	Begin immediately upon signing Joint Agreement - to be reviewed January 2003	5. As part of building a new administrative staff at LHS, Spanish-speaking ability and cultural awareness will be treated as significant factors. Priority staffing will be established for Locke High School	LAUSD Assoc. Supt. Hum Resour. & Dist. I Supt. *LHS Prin.
D. Leadership and staff will revise bell schedule to maximize student learning.			
Reconfigure bell schedule to maximize instructional time.	September 2003	Review Master Schedule. (See item regarding block scheduling)	LHS Prin.
2. Eliminate the block schedule for ESL students for intermediate and advanced ESL	Eliminate ESL block - September 2002 Implement block sched. September 2003	 This double-period schedule exists for inappropriate reasons and will be eliminated and replaced by an informed understanding of ESL instruction and block scheduling for effective instructional purposes. 	LHS *Prin. & Asst. Prin.Sch Couns. Svcs.

Finding No.6: Professional development opportunities for all Locke High School staff lack focus and are not provided as needed to ensure effectiveness of job responsibilities.

Сопестіче	Implem.		Respon.
Actions	Timeline		Indiv.
A. Write and implement a comprehensive two-year professional development plan, tied to the school site plan, that is focused on academic improvement of students in the areas of reading comprehension, writing and mathematics. The secondary component of this plan shall include workshops and training for administration, counselors and support staff, including instructional assistants, that is focused on systems of	Plan completed September 2002	standards-based lesson design and study, integration of literacy	Dist.I, Supt. LHS *Prin.
organization, time management and technology essential for their jobs	September 2003	LHS will take on two additional core departments in 2002-2003.	Dist.I, Supt. LHS *Prin.
That the counseling and clerical staff receives training to appropriate training in technology.	Beginning July2002 and throughout 2003 school year	District I ITAF and SIS staff will schedule training.	Dist. I ITAF & *LHS Prin.
 All counselors will possess or be working toward a pupil personnel credential. 	September 2002	 Work with higher education to provide counselor training and hire interns trained by the university. 	*Dist. Supt. & LHS Prin.
3. That the AP assigned to counseling be responsible for securing training and implementing of SIS system with the area superintendent	September 2002 – to be reviewed January 2003	3. District I will work with the LAUSD Administrative Academy to design a comprehensive training program for APSCS's to build master schedules that reflect the school and district vision that all students will learn in the appropriate instructional environment.	*LAUS Admit Acdm & Dist. I Supt

Corrective	Implem.		Respon.
Actions	Timeline		ndiv.
	March 2002 - to be reviewed September 2002	School will receive immediate training in the SIS system to ensure that students are scheduled and counseled appropriately based on available	LHS Prin.& Asst. Prin. Sch. Coun. Svcs.
4. That all AP's job descriptions be revisited and focused on administrative duties and with clerical tasks performed by clerical staff.	Immediately upon signing Joint Agreement and ongoing		Dist. I Supt
training for all mathematics	September 2002 and ongoing	interdisciplinary literacy lesson design/study sessions for all mathematics teachers, LAUSD and District I are building partnerships with local Cal. State	LAUSD Off. of Inst. & Dist. I Supt. & *LHS Prin.
6. The Department Chairs should help design and implement new teacher orientation.	Review by March 2002 and implement September 2002	will take on an instructional	LAUSE & Dist. I Supt., LHS *Prin. & Dept Chrs.
7. Teachers work in grade level teams to develop) and share specific instructional techniques for teaching the objectives and standards they desire and replace the current mode of checking for comprehension with those instructional strategies.	March 2002 and ongoing	7. The bi-weekly lesson design/study sessions led by UCLA facilitators are designed to assist teachers in implementing standards-based instruction that integrates literacy and content instruction to increase the number of students capable of accessing a rigorous curriculum.	Dist. I Supt

Согтестіче	Implem.	Benchmarks of	Respon.
Actions	Timeline	Progress	Indiv.
8. Administrative staff meet with	Immediately	8. The Special Education team led	*Dist. I
teachers and review	upon signing	by the Special Education	Spec.
appropriate use of	the Joint	Coordinator spent three weeks on	Ed.
Instructional Assistants, and to	Agreement	the Locke High School campus	Coord.
develop plan to better utilize	and ongoing	meeting with Special Education	& LHS
Instructional Assistants to	– to be	teaches and their assistants to	Prin.
support the teacher in the	reviewed	implement effective standards-	
design and delivery of the	September	based curriculum and instruction.	}
lesson.	2002	They will continue this work.	
	July 2002	District I is also creating a model	Dist, I
	and ongoing	whereby UCLA trains classroom	Supt.
		assistants and CBOP fellows	*LHS
		working with students to support	Prin.
		the lessons teachers are designing	1
		in subject-alike groups.	

^{*} Denotes primary responsible individual

The District certifies that a presentation of this Joint Intervention Agreement was made at a regularly scheduled board meeting held on <u>Jan. 22</u>, 2002, and that all corrective actions will be implemented in accordance with this Agreement.

Local	Education	Agency
-------	-----------	--------

California Department of Education

Signature

District Superintendent

Signature - Defaine Eastin

State Superintendent of Public Instruction

Local District Superintendent

Deputy Superintendent

Curriculum and Instructional Leadership Branch

Approved as to form and content by:

Signatur

General Counsel

Approved as to form and content by:

Deputy General Counsel

Date